NETSYSTEN
ADVISORY | PROCUREMENT | EXECUTION



ILLUSTRATIVE SCENARIO 01 / HYPOTHETICAL SCOPE

Early-stage delivery risk screening

A project has commercial momentum, but the owner needs a first view of execution exposure before committing further resources.

RELATED SERVICE

Delivery Risk Snapshot

Question to examine

The target date assumes power, site readiness and supplier availability that have not yet been substantiated.

Useful inputs

- Current project brief and milestone plan
- Available site and power-readiness information
- Initial equipment offers and procurement assumptions

Possible output

A short screening memo identifying delivery red flags, unresolved assumptions and priorities for further validation.

Decision supported

Whether to proceed, pause or commission a more focused review. Screening does not establish complete project feasibility.

ILLUSTRATION, NOT A CLIENT REFERENCE

This scenario demonstrates a possible scope of work. It is not a verified client engagement, testimonial or achieved project result. Actual findings depend on the agreed access and available evidence.

NETSYSTEN
ADVISORY | PROCUREMENT | EXECUTION



ILLUSTRATIVE SCENARIO 02 / HYPOTHETICAL SCOPE

Pre-execution feasibility and delivery validation

Initial screening is encouraging, but packages, procurement decisions and readiness milestones need a coherent delivery sequence.

RELATED SERVICE

Power-to-Delivery Feasibility Pack

Question to examine

Equipment lead times, enabling works and acceptance activities may not align with the proposed critical path.

Useful inputs

- Delivery schedule and procurement tracker
- Package scope and interface responsibilities
- Readiness criteria and available supplier commitments

Possible output

A feasibility memo, dependency observations and prioritised mitigation actions, with the evidence gaps recorded.

Decision supported

Which assumptions need validation before deeper commitment, and which actions must precede mobilisation.

ILLUSTRATION, NOT A CLIENT REFERENCE

This scenario demonstrates a possible scope of work. It is not a verified client engagement, testimonial or achieved project result. Actual findings depend on the agreed access and available evidence.

NETSYSTEN
ADVISORY | PROCUREMENT | EXECUTION



ILLUSTRATIVE SCENARIO 03 / HYPOTHETICAL SCOPE

Active-project delivery governance

Delivery is under way across several parties, while the owner needs a consistent view of issues and readiness.

RELATED SERVICE

Execution Advisory & Delivery Governance

Question to examine

Supplier updates can report activity without demonstrating that interfaces, tests and handover requirements are ready.

Useful inputs

- Package status and milestone evidence
- Issue, action and escalation records
- Testing, commissioning and handover plans

Possible output

Periodic owner-side observations, an action and escalation summary, and a clearer view of readiness dependencies.

Decision supported

What needs an owner decision, who should resolve an interface and when an issue should be escalated. Contractor delivery obligations remain with the contracted parties.

ILLUSTRATION, NOT A CLIENT REFERENCE

This scenario demonstrates a possible scope of work. It is not a verified client engagement, testimonial or achieved project result. Actual findings depend on the agreed access and available evidence.

NETSYSTEN
ADVISORY | PROCUREMENT | EXECUTION



ILLUSTRATIVE SCENARIO 04 / HYPOTHETICAL SCOPE

Regional supplier exposure and delivery coordination

A team is sourcing infrastructure from a regional or China-based supplier and needs to understand the offer and delivery boundaries.

RELATED SERVICE

Procurement support within an agreed engagement

Question to examine

Configuration, source, shipping terms, warranty logistics and local support can be stated in separate documents or left unresolved.

Useful inputs

- Supplier offer, bill of materials and available identity records
- Delivery terms, warranty and support schedules
- Available product and source-verification evidence

Possible output

An evidence-based exposure summary, supplier clarification questions and a responsibility map for the proposed supply.

Decision supported

What should be clarified before order placement and which checks need specialist or on-site work. A document review alone cannot guarantee product authenticity or supplier performance.

ILLUSTRATION, NOT A CLIENT REFERENCE

This scenario demonstrates a possible scope of work. It is not a verified client engagement, testimonial or achieved project result. Actual findings depend on the agreed access and available evidence.

NETSYSTEN
ADVISORY | PROCUREMENT | EXECUTION



ILLUSTRATIVE SCENARIO 05 / HYPOTHETICAL SCOPE

Power readiness and utility constraints

A project schedule depends on available power, but capacity, connection timing and enabling works need stronger support.

RELATED SERVICE

Power-to-Delivery Feasibility Pack

Question to examine

An assumed utility date can become a delivery constraint even when equipment and construction plans appear credible.

Useful inputs

- Available utility correspondence and connection assumptions
- Load requirements and phasing information
- Site works, energisation and readiness milestones

Possible output

A power-readiness dependency review, open questions and a record of utility-related constraints affecting delivery.

Decision supported

Which dependencies require utility confirmation or qualified engineering input before the programme is treated as credible. This review is not a utility commitment or electrical design certification.

ILLUSTRATION, NOT A CLIENT REFERENCE

This scenario demonstrates a possible scope of work. It is not a verified client engagement, testimonial or achieved project result. Actual findings depend on the agreed access and available evidence.